Arizona D	Corporation Commission OCKETED SEP 2 9 2015	
1		
D00	ETED BY	٧ ل ١
	COMMIS	SIONER

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

ORIGINAL



BERORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION SUSAN BITTER SMITH Charman ONTROL

BOB STUMP

COCKET AND COMMISSION

BOS STUMP 5 **BOB BURNS** ARIZONA CORP. COMM DOUG LITTLE 400 W CONGRESS STE 218 TUCSON AZ 8570 6 TOM FORESE IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF EPCOR **ARIZONA** INC., DOCKET NO. WS-01303A-14-0010 WATER AN ARIZONA 8 CORPORATION, FOR A DETERMINATION OF THE CURRENT FAIR VALUE OF ITS UTILITY PLAN AND SANTA CRUZ VALLEY CITIZENS **COUNCIL** APPLICATION **FOR** PROPERTY AND FOR INCREASES IN ITS RATES REHEARING 10 AND CHARGES FOR UTILITY SERVICE BY ITS MOHAVE WATER DISTRICT, PARADISE VALLEY 11 WATER DISTRICT, SUN CITY WATER DISTRICT, WATER DISTRICT, 12 WASTEWATER DISTRICT.

APPLICATION FOR REHEARING OF DECISION NO. 75268

Pursuant to A.R.S.40-253(A), the Santa Cruz Valley Citizens Council ("SCVCC") submits its Application For Rehearing ("Rehearing Application") of certain aspects of the Commission's Decision No. 75268. More specifically, and in the interest of brevity, SCVCC incorporates herein by this reference and adopts as the substantive text of SCVCC's Rehearing Application the following portions of the Residential Utility Consumer Office's ("RUCO") September 24, 2015 Application For Rehearing of Decision No. 75268: (i) page 3, lines 7-14 and Footnote 3; (ii) page 4, lines 17-20; (iii) Exhibit "B"; and, (iv) Exhibit "C" and (v) Exhibit "D". In summary, the phase in rates for Tubac over a three year period should reflect the amounts depicted in RUCO's "Exhibit B" versus the incorrect amounts that were calculated as shown in RUCO's "Exhibit C." In addition, the amendment put forth by Commissioner Little that would reduce the "corporate allocations" for Tubac by \$100,000 should be approved. This amount of EPCOR Tubac's total operating expenses was imbedded in expense lines other than the corporate allocation expense line that already represented about \$42,000 of expense. This

1	additional amount is not only inappropriate but very burdensome to ratepayers of this small water		
2	district.		
3			
4	Dated this 28th day of September, 2015.		
5		Respectfully submitted,	
6		Santa Cruz Valley Citizens Council	
7		By Rich Bohman	
8		Rich Bohman	
9			
10	AN ORIGINAL AND THIRTEEN COPIES Of the foregoing filed this 28 th day		
11	Of September, 2015:		
12	Docket Control Arizona Corporation Commission		
13	c/o 400 West Congress, Suite 218 Tucson, AZ 85701		
14	COPIES of the foregoing emailed/		
15	mailed this 28 th of September, 2015 to:		
16	Dwight Nodes	Michael Hallam	
17	Hearing Division Arizona Corporation Commission	Thomas Campbell 201 East Washington St., Suite 1200	
18	1200 West Washington Phoenix, Arizona 85007	Phoenix, Arizona 85004	
19	Daniel W. Pozefsky	Rich Bowman Santa Cruz Valley Citizens Counsel	
20	Chief Counsel Residential Utility Consumer Office	P.O. Box 1501 Tubac, Arizona 85646	
21	1110 West Washington St. Ste 220 Phoenix, Arizona 85007	Ray Jones	
22	Robin Mitchell	WUAA 916 West Adams, Suite 3	
23	Matthew Laudone Legal Division	Phoenix, Arizona 85507	
24	Arizona Corporation Commission	Delman Eastes	
25	1200 West Washington Phoenix, Arizona 85007	2042 East Sandtrap Lane Fort Mohave, AZ 86426	
26	Thomas Broderick, Director		
27	Utilities Division Arizona Corporation Commission		
28	1200 West Washington Phoenix, Arizona 85007		